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Introduction

In this study we investigate the contribution of the German scalar focus particle “sogar” (ENG ~ even) in aligning linguistic units to establish a pragmatic scale. In particular we shed light on the unfolding of the particle’s assumed procedural meaning (Blakemore 2002) during online comprehension.

Research question

How does world knowledge about objects in specific contexts (verbs) interact with the meaning/function of German “sogar”?

Experiment 1

Materials and participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale type</th>
<th>Focus particle</th>
<th>sogar/ adjective</th>
<th>visual target (focus element)</th>
<th>visual competitor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inherent</td>
<td>present (condition B)</td>
<td>Er repariert Auto, Kassamüller</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>sogar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>absent (condition C)</td>
<td>Er repariert Auto, Kassamüller</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>kaputt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-inherent</td>
<td>present (condition D)</td>
<td>Er verkauft Auto, Zöbelin, Torsten</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>sogar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>absent (condition E)</td>
<td>Er verkauft Auto, Zöbelin, Torsten</td>
<td>and</td>
<td>grün</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- 6 items in each condition (24 critical item in total), 48 filler items; 26 native speakers of German

Procedure

- Preview (2000 ms): 4 objects of which 3 are mentioned in the test phase
- Introduktion (~ 2000 ms): Fixation cross in the center of the screen
- Introduction sentence: “Marcus hat eine Werdstatt.” (Marcus owns a garage)
- Test phase (~ 3000 ms): 4 objects of which 3 are mentioned in the test phase
- Test sentence: “Er repariert Auto, Kassamüller, ...

Discussion Experiment 1

- **Early effect:** attention to the visual target increased significantly faster before focus onset in condition 1 compared to condition 2 (prediction effect)
- **No late effect:** neither in analysis window 2 nor 3, there were differences between condition 3 and 4 (no costs for calculating the implicature)
- **Question:** Do participants in an experimental setting only calculate the implicature triggered by “sogar”, if they perceive the audio stimulus as being produced by a communication partner?

Experiment 2

Materials, participants, and procedure

- same conditions as in Experiment 1; more stimuli were added (N=12 in each condition); 24 filler items, 10 participants
- to make participants interpret the audio stimulus as a unit of human communication, the following question was added after 1/4 of the critical stimuli (after 6 in condition 1, and after 6 in condition 3): “Hebt der Sprecher durch den letzten Satz eine Sache besonders hervor?” (ENG: Does the speaker highlight one thing with the last sentence?)

Hypotheses

Late effect: In condition 3, participants show a higher degree of attention to the visual target associated with the implicature that arises as the result of the elements encountered and the meaning of “sogar” compared to condition 4

Results and Discussion Experiment 2

- analysis as in Experiment 1, but with special focus on time course after focus onset
- **Late effect:** a sign, steeper slope (increase) after focus onset, a sign, higher peak at approximately focus offset, and a sign, steeper slope (decrease) after the peak towards the end of a trial in condition 3 compared to condition 4
- the implicature triggered by “sogar” is only calculated if the audio stimulus is perceived as transmitting a speaker’s intention

General Discussion

**Early contribution:** “sogar” accelerates the alignment of linguistic units (NPs) by triggering the prediction of the last element of a scale; this process presupposes that world knowledge about the units in question allows inferring a scale without “sogar”

**Late contribution:** “sogar” triggers pragmatic processing, i.e., constructing an implicature, only if the experimental setting highlights the audio stimulus as being intentionally produced by a speaker

Conclusion

The German focus particle “sogar” contributes early and late to an interpretation in which several units are aligned to form a scale, depending on world knowledge about the units in question.
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Figure 1: Progression of one trial
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Figure 2: (fitted) Mean target elogits over time
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