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Background
A motion event can be described by specifying the place at which the figure in motion starts to move (source), the place it is heading for (goal), and the path traced. In the original typology developed by Talmy, two types were distinguished: satellite/verb frame. Given the empirical diversity this basic categorisation has been repeatedly revised (c.f., Slobin 2004, Beavers et al. 2010).

• since motion events are fundamentally anchored in the temporal domain, we assume an interaction between grammaticalized temporal categories (aspect, tense, Aktionsart) and spatial categories in the expression of motion events (c.f. Stutterheim et al. 2012, in press)

• conceptual categories encoded in the grammar of a language play an active role as a cognitive filter set up in processes of attention allocation and information selection when talking about motion events (v. Stutterheim et al. 2012)

Results

Modern Standard Arabic (MSA) and Tunisian Arabic (TA)

• in TA, visual attention patterns correlate with the selection of aspect: if imperfective aspect or the periphrastic form is used, attention on endpoints drops after a peak is reached, indicating that reference to endpoints is grammatically excluded by the aspeccial forms selected

• in MSA, the attention patterns reflect that there is no endpoint constraint

The present study

Design
• unsupervised event description task: "Describe the video by answering, ‘what happened?’ start as soon as you can."

Materials and participants
• 20 video clips showing a moving entity underway to a potential endpoint, 40 filler items (video clips showing states, causative events)

• 18 speakers of TA, 18 speakers of MSA (Tunisia, Syria, Egypt, Saudi-Arabia); recorded in Heidelberg and Göttingen (Germany)

Analyses
• Linguistic data: (1) aspect marking, (2) verb type used, (3) adjunct type

• Eye tracking data: attention allocation on moving entity and endpoint regions, time-locked to stimulus onset

Hypotheses
1. Motion events are expressed differently in TA and MSA, given the typological differences in the spatial and temporal domain.

2. Attention allocation mirrors information extraction necessary for verb choice (lexical content and morphosyntactical marking).

Discussion
• In TA, locomotion is expressed via assigning a succession of states to a moving entity on the way moving towards some goal or away from some source place (pisecond composition). Translational motion is expressed at the lexical AND grammatical level

• In MSA, temporal information does not contribute to profiling locomotion. It is the verb and the adjuncts which provide spatial information about the route, the orientation and the direction of the moving entity

• ET data raise the question as to the temporal sequence of processing semantic content and temporal perspective-taking during conceptualization

Conclusion
• The absence of path verbs for expressing directed motion is "compensated" for by the temporal aspect of progression -> TIME structures SPACE

• Visual attention indicates that verb choice and aspect marking depends on the extraction of information about a potential endpoint -> seeing for speaking
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